- 1. For part (a), use essentially the same machine that decides L in time  $n^6$  to decide  $pad(L, n^2)$  in time  $n^3$  by making it "ignore" the trailing junk<sup>1</sup> (note that  $|pad(x, |x|^2)| = |x|^2 = m$ , and x is decided in time  $|x|^6 = m^3$ ). For part (b) prove the contrapositive: assume  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{NP}$ , and suppose that L is in  $\mathbf{NEXPTIME}$ . Then  $pad(L, 2^{n^k})$  is in  $\mathbf{NP}$ , and so it is in  $\mathbf{P}$ , and hence L is  $\mathbf{EXPTIME}$ .
- 2. Same idea as the **Space Hierarchy Theorem** done in class. This proof is technically more difficult as it has to use a counter to keep track of time, and managing the counter takes a little bit of time as well . . . . Hence we lose the log(n) factor.
- 3. For any oracle A define the language  $L(A) = \{x | \{0,1\}^{|x|} \cap A = \emptyset \}$ . Note that an **NP** machine with oracle A can decide  $\overline{L(A)}$  by guessing y of length |x| and checking if  $y \in A$ . So, a **coNP** machine with oracle A can decide L(A). Now construct A iteratively so that no oracle **NP** machine can decide L(A): let  $M_1^2, M_2^2, M_3^2, \ldots$  be a list of all **NP** Turing machine where  $M_i^2$  takes  $n^i$  many steps on inputs of length n. At step n0, n0, and at step n1, we choose an n1 such that all strings examined (for membership in n2) so far are of length n2, and furthermore, n3, n4, n5 Simulate n5, n5 on input n6, and each time n6, answer accordingly, and otherwise declare n6, n7 in n7, and if n8, n9 rejects (all paths are rejecting), declare the remaining n9, n9, n9, n9, and if n9, and if n9, and accepts, find an accepting path and some n9, n9,
- 4. **Nepomnjascij's Theorem:** Let  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  be a rational number, and let a be a positive integer. Then,

NTIMESPACE
$$(n^a, n^{\varepsilon}) \subset \mathbf{LTH}$$

Suppose M is a nondeterministic TM running in time  $n^a$  and space  $n^{\varepsilon}$ . Then, M accepts an input x iff

$$\exists \mathbf{y} [\mathbf{y} \text{ represents an accepting computation for } x]$$
 (1)

So  $\mathbf{y} = y_1 y_2 \dots y_{n^a}$  where each  $|y_i| = n^{\varepsilon}$ , and so  $|\mathbf{y}| = n^{a+\varepsilon}$ . So  $\mathbf{y}$  is too long to verify in linear time (in n = |x|). So we use a trick: let  $\mathbf{z} = z_1 z_2 \dots z_{n^{1-\varepsilon}}$  where the  $z_i$  represent every  $(n^{a-1+\varepsilon})$ -th string in  $\mathbf{y}$ . So now, (1) can be restated as follows:

$$(\exists \mathbf{z})(\forall i)(\exists \mathbf{u})[\ \mathbf{u} \text{ shows } z_{i+1} \text{ follows from } z_i \text{ in } n^{a-1+\varepsilon} \text{ steps } \& \ z_n \text{ is accepting }]$$

Note that  $|\mathbf{z}| = n^{1-\varepsilon}n^{\varepsilon} = n$ , so this is OK, but  $|\mathbf{u}| = n^{a-1+\varepsilon}n^{\varepsilon} = n^{a-1+2\varepsilon}$ . But note that  $(a-1+2\varepsilon) < (a+\varepsilon)$  because  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ . So we have reduced  $\mathbf{u}$  with respect to  $\mathbf{y}$ , by a factor of  $n^{1-\varepsilon}$ , so to know how many times we have to repeat the above nesting of quantifiers, we solve for i in the following equation:

$$\frac{n^{a+\varepsilon}}{(n^{1-\varepsilon})^i} = n$$

and solving, we get  $i = (a + \varepsilon)/(1 - \varepsilon)$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Note quite ignore, as it has to check that the input string  $w = pad(x, |x|^2)$ , and reject if not.