

Name \_\_\_\_\_ Student No. \_\_\_\_\_

*No aids allowed. Answer all questions on test paper. Use backs of sheets for scratch work.*

Total Marks: 100

- [25] 1. A linear bounded automaton (LBA) is a restricted version of a Turing machine where the head can only move on the portion of the tape containing the input.
- (a) How many configurations are possible on an LBA on an input  $w$ , such that  $|w| = n$ ?  
(Let  $\Gamma$  be the tape alphabet, and  $Q$  the set of states.)
  - (b) Show that  $A_{\text{LBA}} = \{\langle M, w \rangle \mid M \text{ is an LBA that accepts } w\}$  is decidable.

**Solution:** For (a)  $|\Gamma|^n \cdot |Q| \cdot n$ , and for (b), notice that since the number of possible configurations is bounded, we can detect when we have entered a loop when simulating an LBA on some input, and then simply reject.

- [25] 2. Show that  $E_{\text{LBA}} = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is an LBA such that } L(M) = \emptyset \}$  is undecidable. You may use the undecidability of  $A_{\text{TM}}$ .

**Solution:** Let  $N_{\langle M, w \rangle}$  be an LBA which on input  $w'$ , checks whether  $w'$  encodes an accepting history of  $M$  on  $w$ , and if so, accept. Clearly, if  $N_{\langle M, w \rangle}$  accepts some string,  $M$  must accept  $w$ . Since  $A_{\text{TM}}$  is undecidable, the result follows.

- [25] 3. Let  $\text{EQ}_{\text{CFG}} = \{ \langle G_1, G_2 \rangle \mid G_1, G_2 \text{ are CFGs such that } L(G_1) = L(G_2) \}$ .
- (a) Show that  $\text{EQ}_{\text{CFG}}$  is undecidable. You may use that  $\text{ALL}_{\text{CFG}} = \{ \langle G \rangle \mid L(G) = \Sigma^* \}$  is undecidable.
  - (b) Show that  $\text{EQ}_{\text{CFG}}$  is co-Turing-recognizable.

**Solution:** For (a), let  $G_2$  be the grammar with the single rule  $S \rightarrow 0S|1S|\varepsilon$ . Then  $L(G_2) = \Sigma^*$ . Now, to check if  $L(G_1) = \Sigma^*$ , it is enough to check whether  $\langle G_1, G_2 \rangle$  is in  $\text{EQ}_{\text{CFG}}$ . For (b), let  $s_1, s_2, s_3, \dots$ , be a list of all strings in  $\Sigma^*$ . We simply search for an  $s_i$  which is in one grammar, but not in the other.

- [25] 4. A *useless state* in a Turing machine is a state that is never entered on any input string (and it is not one of  $\{q_{\text{accept}}, q_{\text{reject}}\}$ ). Consider the problem of determining whether a Turing machine has any useless states. Formulate this problem as a language and show that it is undecidable.

**Solution:** Consider  $M'_{\langle M, w \rangle}$  defined as follows: on input  $x$ , it simulates  $M$  on  $w$ , and if  $M$  accepts,  $M'_{\langle M, w \rangle}$  writes a special symbol, say  $\clubsuit$ , and cycles through all states in  $Q - \{q_{\text{accept}}, q_{\text{reject}}\}$  and finally accepts. We make sure that  $M'_{\langle M, w \rangle}$  has a special state, say  $q_{\text{useless}}$ , that is never used during the simulation of  $M$  on  $w$ . Thus,  $M'_{\langle M, w \rangle}$  has a useless state  $(q_{\text{useless}}) \iff \langle M, w \rangle \in A_{\text{TM}}$ .