

Name _____ Student No. _____

No aids allowed. Answer all questions on test paper. Use backs of sheets for scratch work.

All questions worth 20, for a total of 100.

1. Show that the class of context-free languages is closed under the regular operations, union, concatenation, and star. That is, show that if L_1, L_2 are CFLs, then so are $L_1 \cup L_2, L_1L_2, L_1^*$.

Solution: Suppose that G_1, G_2 are the grammars for L_1, L_2 . For $L_1 \cup L_2$, let $S \rightarrow S_1 | S_2$, and for L_1L_2 , let $S \rightarrow S_1S_2$, and for L_1^* , let $S \rightarrow SS_1 | \varepsilon$.

2. Show that the language $L = \{0^n \# 0^{2n} \# 0^{3n} \mid n \geq 0\}$ is not context-free.

Solution: Problem 2.30b in the textbook.

3. Let G be a CFG in Chomsky normal form that contains b variables, i.e., $|V| = b$. Show that if G generates some string with a derivation having at least 2^b many steps, then $L(G)$ is infinite.

(**Hint:** Show first that if $|w| = n$, and $G \xRightarrow{k} w$, with $k \geq 2^b$, then $n \geq \frac{2^b+1}{2} > 2^{b-1}$.)

Solution: We know that if G is in CNF, then if $|w| = n$, $w \in L(G)$, then *any* derivation of w requires exactly $2n - 1$ steps. This is because each rule of the form $A \rightarrow BC$ increases the length of the string by 1, so there are $n - 1$ such applications; then, we replace each variable by a terminal, with n more applications.

So, a derivation with at least 2^b many steps must produce a string of length at least $\frac{2^b+1}{2} > 2^{b-1}$.

Now consider a parse tree for w . To generate a string of length at least 2^b , the parse tree must be of height at least $b + 1$, because each node has at most 2 children. To see this, note that we must have a tree of height b to generate all the variables that will turn into terminals; then we add 1 for the terminals.

As in the Pumping Lemma, we can conclude that some variable must be repeated on some path in this tree. Now divide w into $uvxyz$ (again, as in the Pumping Lemma), and it follows that $uv^i xy^i z$ must be in the language for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and so $L(G)$ must be infinite.

4. Let a k -PDA be a pushdown automaton equipped with k stacks. Define the transition function in the obvious way (meaning that on each pair (q, a) we go to $(q, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k)$, where b_i denotes the action on the i -th stack). A 0-PDA is just an NFA; a 1-PDA is the conventional PDA. Show the following:

- (a) A 1-PDA is more powerful than a 0-PDA.
- (b) A 2-PDA is more powerful than a 1-PDA.
- (c) A k -PDA with $k \geq 3$ is just as powerful as a 2-PDA.

(“more powerful” means recognizes more languages).

Solution: 0-PDAs correspond to regular languages, which are strictly contained in context-free languages which correspond to 1-PDAs.

A 2-PDA can recognize the language $\{ww \mid w \in \{0,1\}^*\}$ which we showed (Pumping Lemma) is not context-free; push the first half of an input on the first stack, guess the middle, and then push the second half of the input on the second stack, and then on ϵ 's pop the two stacks simultaneously and compare.

In fact, 2-PDAs correspond to Turing machines. We can simulate an arbitrary TM with two stacks as follows: we can use two stacks to keep track of the configurations of the TM. Each configuration is of the form vqw , so we keep v on the first stack, and w on the second, and we are in state q . We simulate a transition by pushing and popping. We start with q_0w and halt when we encounter a halting state.

On the other hand, any k -PDAs can be simulated by a TM, since TMs are the most general model of computation.

5. Show that a language L is decidable iff there exists an enumerator E which enumerates all the strings in L in order of length. (That is, E first enumerates all strings of length 1, then all strings of length 2, then all strings of length 3, etc.)

Solution: [\implies] let M be L 's decider. We construct E as follows: E examines all the strings in the alphabet in lexicographic order, and runs them on M , one by one, and outputs a string iff M accepts it.

[\impliedby] let E be the enumerator, and define M as follows: on input w , M simulates E for as long as either E outputs w , in which case M accepts, or E starts listing strings of length $> |w|$, in which case M rejects. (If E never lists a string of length $> |w|$, then L is finite, in which case it is decidable—in fact regular!)